The H-2B nonimmigrant visa program allows employers to hire foreign workers for temporary or seasonal non-agricultural jobs when they cannot find enough U.S. workers.
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I. H-2B VISA

The H-2B nonimmigrant visa program allows work in the U.S. at temporary or seasonal non-agricultural jobs as long as the wages and working conditions of U.S. workers are not adversely affected.¹ Employers must first apply to the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) for temporary labor certification affirming that the job is temporary or seasonal in nature, and that U.S. workers are not available for the job.² Employers then petition the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) for permission to hire foreign individuals as H-2B nonimmigrants. The H-2B visa does not offer the workers a path to lawful permanent residence or citizenship.³

There is no particular industry or job type associated with the H-2B visa. Rather, any job that is non-agricultural and meets the requirements of being temporary or seasonal in nature, may potentially be certified. Common H-2B jobs include landscaping, amusement parks, housekeeping, forestry, seafood processing, construction, ski resorts and restaurants in tourist areas. There is a statutory annual limit of 66,000 new H-2B visas available each fiscal year.⁴ In 2015, the U.S. Department of State issued 69,684 new H-2B visas.⁵ Policy analysts who study the H-2B program question whether it is used for labor shortages or cheap, temporary labor. Within the past several years, the H-2B program has been subject to scrutiny, regulatory reform, and litigation.

H-2B Jobs Snapshot - 2014

A. History

Early twentieth century agricultural labor importation schemes were precursors to the current temporary foreign worker program, enacted by the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) of 1952.\(^6\) Initially, foreign workers coming to the United States for both agricultural and non-agricultural temporary jobs were included in the same nonimmigrant visa category.\(^7\) However, in 1986, the Immigration Reform and Control Act (IRCA) separated agricultural and non-agricultural temporary workers into the current H-2A and H-2B sub-categories.\(^8\) This separation was marked by significant advocacy in defense of the rights of temporary agricultural workers, whereas “the existing H-2B non-agricultural temporary worker program was virtually ignored in the legislative debate.”\(^9\) As one scholar has put it:

> Congress had determined that the regulations governing agricultural workers “[did] not fully meet the need for an efficient, workable and coherent program that protects the interests of agricultural employers and workers alike.” Regarding non-agricultural workers, however, a House Report accompanying the bill specifically noted that no changes were being made to the statutory language governing non-agricultural H-2’s since the program had worked “reasonably well” with respect to non-agricultural occupations.\(^10\)

Indeed, that bill made “no changes to the statutory language concerning non-agricultural H-2’s; instead it divides the program into two parts and sets forth a number of specific requirements regarding the operation of the H-2A program.”\(^11\) Following IRCA, USDOL issued H-2A regulations with an extensive scheme of worker protections.\(^12\) No similar protections for H-2B workers were made in 1986. Several regulations regarding H-2B workers were promulgated in 2008 and took effect in 2009,\(^13\) though several portions of the regulations were later found to be illegal by a federal court.\(^14\) USDOL then developed more comprehensive H-2B worker protections in line with H-2A that were supposed to take effect in 2012, but USDOL did not enforce the new regulations because a federal court blocked their enforcement.\(^15\) In 2015, USDOL -- this time in conjunction with the Department of Homeland Security -- again promulgated extensive H-2B worker protection regulations (2015 Rule).\(^16\) As of January 2016, all of these regulations are in effect, though a 2015 Congressional appropriations bill temporarily prohibited USDOL from enforcing several provisions.\(^17\) Those provisions can, however, be enforced through private litigation.

B. Duration

H-2B visas authorize work for the length of time listed on the temporary labor certification, up to nine months.\(^18\) Workers are allowed to be in the U.S. ten days before -- and ten days after -- the authorized period.\(^19\) An H-2B visa may be extended for up to one year, as long as the current or new employer applies for an extension and it is approved prior to the expiration of the current visa.\(^20\) The total amount of time an H-
A 2B worker may be continuously present in the U.S. is three years. At the end of that three-year period, the worker must depart the United States.

Certain time spent outside of the U.S. may not count toward the 3-year limit. The U.S. Department of Homeland Security’s sub-agency, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has published guidance on how to calculate the amount of time of an H-2B worker’s authorized stay on a visa when there are interruptions. There is no limitation on a former H-2B worker’s eligibility for a new H-2B visa as long as he or she has resided and been physically present outside of the U.S. for 3 months immediately preceding the new visa. In other words, after three years, as long as the worker returns to his or her home country for three months, the worker may return on another H-2B visa.

C. ANNUAL CAP ON H-2B VISAS

In 1990, the INA was amended to set an annual cap of 66,000 for H-2B visas for each fiscal year, which runs from October through September. Since that time, the number of H-2B visas requested each year has fluctuated, but in general, demand has exceeded supply. Some groups of workers are exempt from the cap, including fish roe processors and certain workers in the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands and/or Guam. The cap is usually met early in the fiscal year. For example, on May 19, 2016 USCIS announced it had reached its H-2B cap for FY 2016.


As certain industries have become dependent on temporary foreign labor, some have petitioned Congress for relief from the cap. In 2005, the Save Our Small and Seasonal Businesses Act created a temporary exemption from the annual H-2B cap for nonimmigrants who were returning to work with the same employer. These workers were issued H-2R visas, a practice that ultimately lasted for three years. At its peak in 2007, 129,547 workers were admitted with either H-2B or H-2R visas – twice the annual cap. The same rules and regulations for the H-2B program applied to H-2R workers. The official H-2R program ended in 2007.
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2. APPROPRIATIONS BILL CREATES H-2R WORKERS FOR 2016
In December 2015, Congress passed an appropriations bill allowing for employers to bring in previously-employed foreign workers without counting them against the annual cap for H-2B workers. This in essence creates a new category for returning H-2B workers, much like the Save our Small and Seasonal Business Act exemption ten years earlier. While some policy analysts expect that the increase in number of H-2B workers will be less than 10,000, others contend that the number in 2016 will be similar to the peak years of 2005 to 2007.

D. H-4 VISA FOR DEPENDENTS
The H-4 visa category is available for spouses and minor children of any nonimmigrant worker with an H visa to stay in the U.S. as long as the principal’s H visa is valid. The U.S. State Department consular official may take steps to verify that the principal H worker is in fact maintaining his or her status in the United States before granting the family member’s H-4 visa. The family members are not eligible to work, but they may study.

DIGGING DEEPER: H-4 VISA NOT WIDELY USED FOR DEPENDENTS OF H-2B WORKERS
H-4 visas are available for spouses and children of all classes of H workers (among them, H-1B, H-2A, and H-2B). The H-4 visa does not authorize employment, which may be why the H-4 visa is not widely used. The number of H-4 visa holders who are dependents of H-2B workers is hard to pinpoint, because the numbers do not distinguish between the different types of H visas. But, even when considering the total number of visas issued through H-1B, H-2A and H-2B principals all together, the number of H-4 workers is very small. For example, in 2013 there were 96,753 H-4 visas compared with 285,015 H principal visas. The ratio of H visas to H-4 visas is much lower than the ratio of similar nonimmigrant visa categories, such as the J or L visa, which allow dependents to work on their derivative visas. This suggests that while employment authorization is only one factor that a nonimmigrant worker will consider when deciding whether family members should join him or her, it is an important consideration. It may also reflect the fact that, as workers in unskilled jobs, it is difficult for H-2B workers to afford the travel and housing costs associated with bringing family members to the U.S.
II. H-2B HIRING PROCESS

As is the case with many other nonimmigrant visa programs, employers apply for permission to import H-2B workers. Three government agencies are involved: the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL), the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), and the U.S. Department of State.

In 2015 USDOL added a new step to the process for obtaining H-2B certification called registration. As of September 2016, however, USDOL has not set up the registration process and registration is not mandatory.

First, the employer must obtain a prevailing wage determination for the job opportunity in the area of intended employment from USDOL. After that the employer submits its application for temporary labor certification to USDOL for review. Then the employer must recruit U.S. workers for the job opening. Finally, DHS’s sub-agency, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service (USCIS), reviews the employer’s petition for nonimmigrant workers. While the H-2B request is pending with the various federal agencies, employers must locate and hire the foreign workers to fill the positions. Workers are usually recruited in their home countries. To assist with the process, employers may hire a labor contractor, who may also be in the business of foreign recruitment. Or, the employer may hire a foreign recruiter directly. Less commonly, employers travel abroad to find their own workers or recruit them through other known migrant workers or returning H-2B workers.

Once the USDOL and DHS approve the H-2B positions, the prospective H-2B workers apply for the H-2B visa from the Department of State at the designated U.S. consular post in their home country. After a worker receives the H-2B visa, the worker may travel to the U.S. and present for admission at the U.S. border or port of entry from an inspector with DHS’s sub-agency, the Customs and Border Patrol (CBP). If the inspector is satisfied that the worker is admissible, entry is allowed, and the worker travels on to the worksite.


By statute, employers must petition DHS for classification of the prospective temporary worker as an H-2B nonimmigrant before that worker may obtain an H-2B visa or be granted H-2B status. DHS is the federal agency in charge of immigration matters that the statute authorizes to consult with other “appropriate agencies” before deciding whether to approve an H-2B petition. In this vein, DHS has determined that it should consult USDOL because of USDOL’s ability to advise whether “unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country.” According to DHS, the best way to accomplish this is to require the employer, prior to filing an H-2B petition, to first apply for a temporary labor certification from USDOL. The temporary labor certification serves as USDOL’s advice to DHS that the employer has tried unsuccessfully to recruit sufficient U.S. workers at a USDOL-determined prevailing wage for the position for which it now seeks H-2B workers, and that the employer has provided assurance that it will pay its H-2B workers and any successfully recruited U.S. workers at least the same prevailing wage. Thus, the certification serves as expert consultation and advice to USCIS on whether U.S. workers capable of performing the services or labor are available, and whether the employment of the foreign worker(s) will adversely affect the wages and working conditions of
similarly employed U.S. workers. The fulfillment of the required consultation with USDOL in this fashion represents good and efficient government, inasmuch as it avoids potentially significant and unnecessary cost that the federal government would otherwise incur if it was required to replicate within DHS the unique expertise already existing within USDOL. . . DHS relies on USDOL’s advice in this area, as the appropriate government agency with expertise in labor market questions, to fulfill DHS’s statutory duty of determining that unemployed persons capable of performing the relevant service or labor cannot be found in the United States and to approve H-2B petitions.  

In the 2015 regulations jointly promulgated by DOL and DHS, DHS clearly delegated certain investigatory and enforcement functions for the H-2B program to DOL. 

A. STEPS FOR EMPLOYERS
Employers who want to hire H-2B workers may either navigate the steps themselves or hire an agent (often referred to as a labor contractor or staffing company) or lawyer to handle the process for them. If the employer is a labor contractor, rather than a fixed-site employer, the contractor must list the name and location of each employer where the nonimmigrants will work. The location of each actual worksite must be listed with as much geographic specificity as possible. Employers and job contractors are required to register with the National Processing Center to establish that their need for services or labor is temporary. Under the 2015 regulations, participation of job contractors was restricted to only those job contractors who can demonstrate they have their own need for workers on a temporary basis (either seasonal or one-time occurrence). The job contractor and the employer to whom it is supplying workers are joint employers and must both agree to comply with the requirements of the H-2B program.

1. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employers apply for certification, or approval, of H-2B temporary nonagricultural job positions with USDOL’s sub-agency, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA), which houses the Office of Foreign Labor Certification (OFLC). Employers must submit an application for temporary labor certification to the OFLC. The application must list a job opportunity which is a bona fide, full-time, and temporary position. The application is often referred to as a “job order”. Full time is defined as 35 or more hours per week. Temporary means either a seasonal, peak load or intermittent need that is no more than nine months, or a one-time occurrence. The employer must offer the prevailing wage for the position. The prevailing wage is approved by OFLC. USDOL approves a high proportion of temporary labor certification applications for H-2B jobs.
H-2B Temporary Labor Certifications Approved by USDOL

APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED:  
- FY2011: 10,000
- FY2012: 15,000
- FY2013: 20,000
- FY2014: 25,000
- FY2015: 30,000

APPLICATIONS CERTIFIED:  
- FY2011: 10,000
- FY2012: 15,000
- FY2013: 20,000
- FY2014: 25,000
- FY2015: 30,000

POSITIONS REQUESTED:  
- FY2011: 100,000
- FY2012: 100,000
- FY2013: 100,000
- FY2014: 100,000
- FY2015: 120,000

A) REGISTRATION OF TEMPORARY NEED
The 2015 Rule requires employers or job contractors to registration their temporary need for workers with USDOL by no less than 120 days and no more than 150 days from the first date of need.\(^5\) The Chicago National Processing Office may approve the application for a period of up to 3 consecutive years.\(^5\) Once approved, the employer or contractor may seek workers for the first period of need.\(^6\) However, as of September 2016, USDOL has not yet set up the registration process.\(^6\)

B) PREVAILING WAGE DETERMINATION
The next step in the H-2B hiring process is to obtain a Prevailing Wage Determination (PWD).\(^6\) Employers must obtain this prior to filing an application for temporary labor certification. Employers submit the Application for Prevailing Wage Determination, ETA Form 9141, to USDOL’s National Prevailing Wage Center. The PWD must be valid on the date the job order is posted. USDOL determines the prevailing wage based on one of several methods. The prevailing wage is either what is spelled out in the collective bargaining agreement in place at the worksite, if any, or it is the arithmetic mean of wages of workers similarly employed in the area of intended employment.\(^6\) The wage numbers from which the mean is derived come from either the Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) Survey or a survey provided by the employer, if USDOL finds it acceptable.\(^6\) According to DOL regulations published in 2015, employer-provided wage surveys may only be used in limited circumstances to set the prevailing wage.\(^6\) However, Congress drastically expanded the availability of employer-wage surveys in its 2016 Department of Labor Appropriations Act.\(^6\)

**DIGGING DEEPER: PREVAILING WAGE LITIGATION CHRONOLOGY**
The Prevailing Wage Determination (PWD) methodology currently in effect is the result of extensive litigation. In 2008, in the case *CATA v. Solis*, non-profit law firms and advocacy groups representing unions and migrant workers challenged the way prevailing wage rates were set.\(^6\) At that time, the regulations set the prevailing wage based on skill-levels. The plaintiffs in *CATA* argued that using that skill level breakdown resulted in wages that were much lower than what were truly the prevailing wages across industries and that this negatively impacted U.S. workers.

In August 2010, a district judge left the rule intact yet directed the agency to promulgate new regulations within 90 days.\(^6\) In the subsequent rulemaking, USDOL found that using the skill level methodology to come up with the wage rate did indeed adversely affect wages and working conditions of U.S. works; so USDOL revised the PWD rule.\(^6\) However, implementation of the revised rule was delayed by court order in litigation filed by employers who had sued USDOL because they were unhappy with the new rule.\(^7\) In the meantime, employer organizations persuaded Congress to adopt a resolution barring USDOL from using appropriated funds to implement the 2011 H-2B prevailing wage rate regulations.\(^7\) That ban was continued by subsequent appropriations.\(^7\)

In March 2013 in response to a request from the *CATA* plaintiffs, the district court finally actually vacated the offending skill-level prevailing wage rate regulation.\(^7\) As a result, USDOL issued an interim wage rule in late April 2013 that went into effect immediately.\(^7\) The 2013 rule set the prevailing wage as the mean OES wage unless
there was another statutory or union wage, but it also allowed employers to submit a private wage survey.

After the 2013 interim final rule was enacted, USDOL issued supplemental prevailing wage determinations (PWD) to H-2B employers using the new methodology. An employer filed a motion with USDOL’s Board of Alien Labor Certification Appeals (BALCA), challenging the supplemental PWDs. The Board ruled that USDOL did not have the authority to increase the wage rate an employer must pay after the application for H-2B workers has been certified.75 Worker advocates’ attempt to challenge Island Holdings was blocked when the Eastern District of Pennsylvania ruled they lacked standing.76 One court, however, denied an employer’s motion to dismiss a claim for failure to pay the supplemental prevailing wage, stating that it was not bound by the Island Holdings decision.77 The Department of Labor responded to the Island Holdings ruling by issuing a notice indicating its intent to declare its authority to issue supplemental PWDs, but to date it has not issued a declaration.

A challenge by worker groups to the use of employer-provided surveys for prevailing wage determinations resulted in new criteria for the use of employer surveys.78 On April 29, 2015, USDOL issued a final rule on the wage methodology, effective immediately.79 Worker advocates challenged portions of the 2015 Rule dealing with employer-provided surveys, among other things, but their complaint was dismissed for lack of standing.80 The 2016 DOL Appropriations Act mandates a different prevailing wage methodology than that in the 2015 Rule for FY 2016, and requires USDOL to accept employer surveys even where Occupational Employment Statistics survey data are available, “unless the Secretary determines that the methodology and data in the provided survey are not statistically supported.”81

Worker advocates hoped that the net effect of the ongoing litigation will be H-2B workers receiving the actual prevailing wage rate for their jobs and U.S. workers’ wages not suffering as a result.82

C) APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY LABOR CERTIFICATION
After obtaining a prevailing wage determination and registering as an H-2B employer, the employer files the temporary labor certification application with State Workforce Agency and the National Processing Center in Chicago.83 The application includes ETA Form 9142B and Appendix B,84 and any supporting documentation that shows the employer’s temporary need for workers.85 Employers may submit the application either electronically or via U.S. mail to the Chicago National Processing Center.

The USDOL reviews the application for errors and compliance with H-2B program criteria, and, if everything is in order, will issue a Notice of Acceptance within 7 days of its receipt of the application.86 The Notice of Acceptance will instruct the employer to engage in the required recruitment, and will notify the State Workforce Agency to enter the job order into interstate circulation.87 After these steps are taken and the recruitment report is received, USDOL will issue its final decision on certification of the application.88
Under the 2015 H-2B regulations, for the first time the H-2B employer must provide a copy of all agreements with any agent or recruiter whom it engages or plans to engage in the recruitment of H-2B workers under the temporary employment certification application.\textsuperscript{89}

During this phase of the hiring process, the employer must provide USDOL with its contracts or agreements with recruiters as well as all information required by the foreign worker recruitment disclosures. The employer must certify as part of the application process that neither they nor their agents sought or received payment for recruitment costs and that the employer has contractually forbidden its recruiters and agents from charging a fee.\textsuperscript{90} As required under the 2015 Rule,\textsuperscript{91} the OFLC has compiled a publicly available list of recruiters identified by employers on their applications. It is possible to cross reference that list with the online job orders maintained by USDOL to determine with which employer a recruiter is associated.

D) RECRUITMENT
Employers seeking H-2B certification are required to try to recruit U.S. workers after their application temporary labor certification is accepted for processing.\textsuperscript{92} The employer must publish at least local newspaper advertisements on two separate days (one of which must be on a Sunday), contact former U.S. employees, post the job opportunity in at least 2 conspicuous places in the area of intended employment for at least 15 days, and contact the applicable union, if there is a collective bargaining agreement in effect at the worksite.\textsuperscript{93} Recruitment must occur within 14 days of the date the Notice of Acceptance of the employer’s application is issued by USDOL.\textsuperscript{94} The employer must prepare and sign a report summarizing its recruitment efforts and the results.\textsuperscript{95} The report must identify each U.S. worker who applied for the job, if any, and explain the lawful job-related reason for not hiring the U.S. worker. The recruitment report must be submitted to the National Processing Center by a date specified in the Notice of Acceptance.\textsuperscript{96}

DIGGING DEEPER: HISTORY OF U.S. WORKER RECRUITMENT FOR H-2B JOBS
Criticism of H-2B U.S. worker recruitment abounds.\textsuperscript{97} Advocates have chronicled systematic "bogus advertisements in media designed to avoid U.S. workers, through unreasonable job qualifications, or through simple lack of follow up with qualified U.S. workers."\textsuperscript{98}

One media investigation reported that an East Coast visa fraud conspiracy simply scheduled interviews with U.S. applicants for inconvenient times, such as 6 p.m. on Christmas Eve. The few Americans who actually appeared reported later that the interviewers were “intimidating” and made the jobs sound “as bad as possible.”\textsuperscript{99}

Economists routinely argue that at a time when unemployment rates for low-wage workers in the U.S. are high, “it is almost impossible that the importation of landscape laborers, hotel and restaurant workers, and construction workers is not ‘displacing qualified United States workers available to perform such services or labor.”\textsuperscript{100}
DIGGING DEEPER: PROCESS FOR JOB CONTRACTORS

Under the 2015 Rule, job contractors who are not themselves fixed site employers may apply for H-2B workers directly, as long as they are a joint employer with the fixed site employer for whom they will supply workers and they can show that they have their own temporary need (seasonal or one-time occurrence), not just that their client’s need is temporary. Both the job contractor and the employer-client must sign the application for temporary employment certification, and there must be a signed contract between them.

2. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Once the USDOL’s final decision approving the temporary labor certification comes through, the next step is petitioning DHS’s U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) for permission to import foreign workers with H-2B nonimmigrant visas. Along with the Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker, Form I-129, petitioners must submit evidence including the USDOL-approved temporary labor certification, a statement of need, and if required, the workers’ qualifications. The documentation must include details about the temporary situation or conditions requiring the importation of foreign workers, whether the need is “a one-time occurrence, seasonal, peak-load or intermittent,” and whether the petitioner expects the situation to recur. If the petitioner is a labor contractor, the fixed site employer’s name is not required on Form I-129, only the place of employment; therefore, USCIS will have the address of the worksites, but not necessarily the names of individual fixed site employers.

Petitioners may file for more than one worker on a single Form I-129 if all workers will perform the same services for the same period of time and in the same location. If the employer is requesting nonimmigrant status for multiple workers who are not currently in the United States, they do not need to be named individually on Form I-129. The petition must only list the total number of unnamed worker beneficiaries sought and specify their nationality, even if they are from more than one country.

Fees for each application (not per worker beneficiary) include a $325 basic filing fee and a $150 fraud detection and prevention fee. Premium processing (to speed up the review time) is available for $1,225. Form I-129 may not be filed or approved more than 120 days before the date of need. After petitions are adjudicated, the decision is entered into the computer tracking system and if approved, the H-2B visa is ready for consular processing.

A) TWO APPLICATION PERIODS

For purposes of the annual 66,000 cap, the USCIS processes H-2B visas in two equal application periods each fiscal year. The annual H-2B visa allotment is divided into the following two application periods: 33,000 for the first half of the fiscal year and 33,000 for the second half. USCIS accepts applications from October 1 to March 31, until the 33,000-cap ceiling is reached. Any unallocated visa slots are rolled over into the second half of the fiscal year (April 1 through September 30) for a new count of 33,000 plus unallocated visas from the first half of the year. Unused visa slots cannot be carried over from one fiscal year to the next. USCIS maintains an updated cap count.
on their website. As of May 12, 2016, USCIS had reached the cap for the second half of FY 2016.114

B) INFORMATION ABOUT FOREIGN RECRUITMENT REQUEST
On the petition for non-immigrant workers, Form I-129, the employer must specify the country of origin of the worker beneficiaries, the name of the “staffing, recruiting, or similar placement service or agent” and the countries where the agent will recruit workers.115 The Department of State may deny or revoke an H-2B petition if it is discovered that the petitioner collected or entered into an agreement to collect a fee or other compensation (direct or indirect) from the beneficiary as a condition of the beneficiary obtaining or maintaining employment or if the petitioner knows or reasonably should have known at the time of filing the I-129 petition that the beneficiary has paid or agreed to pay any facilitator, recruiter, or similar employment service as a condition or requirement of obtaining employment.116

B. STEPS FOR WORKERS
As mentioned above, an H-2B visa is only available for a job at a particular worksite after the employer has authorization from USDOL and USCIS. Workers usually connect with the employer through a foreign recruiter. Once a worker is offered and accepts an H-2B job, he must apply for the visa from the Department of State at a U.S. embassy or consulate in the home country where he must demonstrate nonimmigrant intent.117

1. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Once Form I-129 is approved by USCIS, the employer will then communicate to the workers, either directly or through its labor contractor or recruiter, that they should apply for the visas at the U.S. consulate or visa processing location abroad.118 Usually an agent of the employer or recruiter will assist the workers with this process. The Department of State requires a $190 basic filing fee, $85 fingerprinting, and $26 consulate interview fees.119 The U.S. Department of State does not have official, published policy guidance instructing consular officers to collect information about recruitment during the visa interview.120

The Department of State reviews the application and has the final say about whether to issue the visa and whether it is credible that the worker will return home when the job period ends.121 Refusal rates have increased, especially in specific consulates with heightened concerns about fraud. Still, in 2015 the adjusted refusal rate for H-2B visas was just over 13%.122

The H-2B visa itself does not guarantee entry to the United States. The worker must still pass immigration inspection at the border or port of entry; DHS’s Customs and Border Protection will either permit or deny admission to the U.S. after their own inspection and may even determine the permitted time allowed in the U.S., which may be less time than what is listed on the visa itself.123
Agents or staffing companies who assist employers in navigating the H-2B application process profit either by charging the employer a price per worker, which is legal, or charging the prospective H-2B worker a recruitment fee, which is illegal, or some combination thereof. These so-called body shop employers petition for H-2B temporary workers as the employer, and then deploy workers out to other companies. One diplomat at a U.S. consulate in Mexico explained:

**Staffing agencies advertise themselves as a “one stop shop” for clients that prefer not to recruit workers abroad or navigate the H-2B petition process themselves. Because staffing agencies typically retain the H-2 beneficiaries in their employ and “rent them out” to client firms, they relieve those clients of all the administrative work and financial responsibilities required of a direct employer. In return for supplying a temporary workforce, the staffing agency charges its clients a**
premium over the wage authorized by the Department of Labor. The majority of H-2B petitions received in Monterrey are for either common construction laborers or landscape laborer that list a salary as between $8 - 11 US/hour depending on the location the work is being performed. The margin between what the end user pays the staffing agency and what the staffing agency pays the beneficiaries represents the staffing agency's gross income.\textsuperscript{124}

The actual worksites must always be listed on the temporary labor certification and approved by USDOL.\textsuperscript{125} In a leaked diplomatic cable, at least one consular official opined that only employers should be allowed to petition for H-2B workers to prevent "local recruitment agencies from charging outrageous fees."\textsuperscript{126}

2. FOREIGN RECRUITMENT

Customarily, employers locate H-2B workers through labor contractors or recruiters who are hired by the employer to find suitable workers. Recruiters may be staffing companies or individuals who are either (1) based in the U.S., (2) both in the U.S. and abroad, (3) solely abroad, or (3) entities who subcontract with other levels of foreign recruiters – who may be individuals or business organizations.\textsuperscript{127} Advertising may happen in many ways, whether by word of mouth or through local media outlets. Groups of workers from the same hometown will oftentimes be recruited and travel together to work for the same employer.

Problems arise when, for example, recruiters make false promises about the job in the U.S., or charge workers illegal fees to be eligible for the jobs.\textsuperscript{128} Even though the H-2B regulations require employers to prohibit recruiters from charging fees,\textsuperscript{129} enforcing this prohibition is difficult. Whether the employer in fact knows about the behavior of recruiters – or what steps the employer took to find this out --, is a hotly contested issue when complaints surface.\textsuperscript{130}

A) TRANSPARENCY IN FOREIGN LABOR RECRUITER SYSTEM

Both USDOL and DHS require employers to identify their recruiters by name during the temporary labor certification and nonimmigrant visa petition process. The 2015 Rule requires employers to provide a copy of any agreements with any agent or recruiter whom it engages or plans to engage to recruit H-2B workers for employment, with the identity and location of all persons and entities hired by or working for the recruiter or agent referenced.\textsuperscript{131}

After USDOL approves the temporary labor certification, the employer submits Form I-129 to USCIS. That form requires employers to identify their recruiter, but that information is not public, and those recruiters are neither registered nor tracked nor is their contact information provided to the workers or to any agency in their home countries. The Department of Labor maintains a publicly available list of recruiters and their locations, but it does not include information about the employers for whom each recruiter works.\textsuperscript{132} However, the list includes case numbers which can be used to access the job orders associated with that particular recruiter.\textsuperscript{133}
B) NO FEDERAL REGISTRATION FOR FOREIGN LABOR RECRUITERS

There is no federal registration system in place for foreign labor recruiters who recruit for jobs in the United States. Put simply, the U.S. government does not regulate foreign H-2B labor recruiters at the federal level.

DIGGING DEEPER: CALIFORNIA STATE FOREIGN LABOR CONTRACTOR REGISTRATION

Beginning on July 1, 2016, any person acting as a foreign labor contractor who recruits workers for eventual employment in California must register with the California State Labor Commissioner.¹³⁴ According to the regulations, as of August 1, 2016, the commissioner should have posted on its public website the names and contact information for all registered foreign labor contractors and a list of the names and contact information for any foreign labor contractors denied renewal or registration.¹³⁵ However, as of September 2016, the state agency in charge of this new law’s implementation has not yet promulgated regulations to guide the registration process or define the contours of the public registry.

3. RECRUITMENT FEES ARE ILLEGAL

Prospective workers should not have to pay fees to a recruiter.¹³⁶ H-2B regulations explicitly state that the practice of charging recruitment fees is banned.¹³⁷ Indeed,

as a condition of approval of an H-2B petition, no job placement fee or other compensation (either direct or indirect) may be collected at any time, including before or after the filing or approval of the petition, from a beneficiary of an H-2B petition by a petitioner, agent, facilitator, recruiter, or similar employment service as a condition of an offer or condition of H-2B employment (other than the lower of the actual cost or fair market value of transportation to such employment and any government-mandated passport, visa, or inspection fees, to the extent that the passing of such costs to the beneficiary is not prohibited by statute, unless the employer, agent, facilitator, recruiter, or similar employment service has agreed with the beneficiary that it will pay such costs and fees).¹³⁸

The regulations require employers to contractually forbid any of their recruiters from charging fees.¹³⁹ On the Form I-129 petition filed with DHS, as well as on the application for temporary employment certification filed with USDOL, employers attest that fees will not be charged. If USCIS finds out that the worker has paid a recruitment fee and that the employer either collected the fee or knew or should have known that an “agent, facilitator, recruiter or similar employment service” had collected a fee, the H-2B petition will be denied unless the petitioner shows that the beneficiary was reimbursed.¹⁴⁰ Even though recruitment fees are banned, prospective H-2B workers often still pay them, with or without the employer’s knowledge. The recruiter may simply call the fee that is paid a “service fee” or tell the worker to keep it secret or lie at their visa interview.¹⁴¹
DIGGING DEEPER: DENYING VISA APPLICATION WHEN RECRUITMENT FEE PAYMENT DISCOVERED
When workers reveal in their visa interviews at DOS the fact that they have paid a recruitment fee, their visa applications are often denied. A leaked cable from the Consulate in Sao Paulo, Brazil describes how recruiters and agencies abused “poor, desperate people who borrowed money to pay outrageously high brokerage fees,” as high as “$3,000 for a chance to apply for a temporary work visa”:

Invariably, applicants have borrowed money or sold a car to fund the visa application and can never hope to make enough money in the four or five months the petition is valid to make a round-trip worthwhile. *We deny most of these applications.* Some of the ones that are issued arrive in the U.S. to find they have no job. In the end, the recruiters have made a fortune preying on the unsuspecting public, consulate visa appointments are swelled with these terrible cases, and few are issued visas. Only the recruiters benefit.

DIGGING DEEPER: REVOCATION OF H-2B APPROVAL WHEN RECRUITMENT FEE PAYMENT DISCOVERED
If the H-2B worker who has paid a recruitment fee makes it through the visa application process but DHS finds out about the fee once the worker is here, the petition may still be revoked. If this happens, the worker’s continued stay will be limited to just 30 days “for the purpose of departure” and the employer will have to pay for the worker’s travel home. If the worker finds another H-2B job, he or she may stay in the U.S. during the 30-day period until the new employer’s application is approved.

Before hiring H-2B workers within one year of a revocation, an employer will have to demonstrate that “the petitioner or agent, facilitator, recruiter, or similar employment service” reimbursed each worker for the prohibited fee that was collected previously or that the worker was not able to be located despite the petitioner’s reasonable efforts to locate them. If the employer either waits more than a year or chooses not to re-enter the H-2B program, there is no regulation requiring the employer to reimburse the worker for the unlawful fees charged.

DIGGING DEEPER: CONTRATADOS.ORG
In February 2015, the non-profit Centro de los Derechos del Migrante, Inc. launched a website for workers to anonymously rate recruiters and employers for the H-2A, H-2B, and J-1 visa programs. The website operates as a “yelp” type review board, with ratings along with short audio segments of workers providing advice and resources on the process. With reviews written by workers themselves, the site is an attempt to allow them to share experiences to prevent others from falling victim to abuse.

C. JOB CHANGES ONLY ALLOWED WITH GOVERNMENT APPROVAL
An H-2B worker is authorized to work for a single employer for a specific period. If he or she quits his or her job or is fired, the visa is no longer valid. If a worker seeks to change employers prior to the completion of the original work period, the prospective new employer must go through all the regular hiring steps, first filing a temporary labor certification with USDOL and then filing a Form I-129 petition for H-2B approval and an extension of the worker’s stay in the United States. While the worker doesn’t necessarily have to return to his country of origin, he may not begin the new job until the USDOL certifies the job and DHS approves the employer’s petition and the worker’s extension. Neither USDOL nor DHS publish information on how often this happens.
III. H-2B WORKERS IN THE U.S. – DATA

The three federal agencies that share responsibility for the H-2B program each publish a variety of data. The U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) publishes the number of applications for certification and the number of H-2B job positions requested and certified. In addition, USDOL provides information on employers, job type, location, and wages offered. The U.S. Department of State (DOS) annually presents data on the number of visas actually issued and the nationality of the workers that receive them. The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) publishes the number of workers requested on petitions for H-2B nonimmigrant status as well as the number of admissions for individuals with H-2B visas, and the countries they came from.

Because each agency counts something different, none alone offers an accurate number of H-2B workers. Read together, the data illustrates the scope of the H-2B program. In 2013, the USDOL certified 82,307 H-2B jobs, DHS (USCIS) approved petitions on behalf of 72,086 H-2B worker beneficiaries, the Department of State issued 57,600 H-2B visas, and DHS (CBP) counted 104,993 H-2B admissions. At any given time, estimates are that there are 115,000 H-2B workers present in the United States. Several U.S. states in the South have the largest number of H-2B workers, with Maryland following closely behind.\textsuperscript{150} Every year, over 70\% of H-2B workers are Mexican nationals.\textsuperscript{151} No agency publishes data on the age or gender of H-2B workers, despite the fact that this information is routinely gathered and maintained by the government.
### H-2B Numbers at a Glance: 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Data collected</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Labor</td>
<td>Number of H-2B positions requested on temporary labor certification Form ETA 9142</td>
<td>93,649 H-2B jobs certified[1]</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. State Department</td>
<td>Number of H-2B visas actually issued to foreign workers at US Consulates abroad</td>
<td>69,102 H-2B visas granted[2]</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>This number includes workers who receive visas; receiving a visa does not mean that the worker in fact was admitted to the U.S. at the border or port of entry. Statistics on workers who are turned away at the border are not disaggregated by visa classification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Homeland Security – U.S. Customs and Border Patrol</td>
<td>Number of H-2B admissions and readmissions at the border with a I-94 entry document</td>
<td>105,413 admissions counted for individuals with H-2B visas[4]</td>
<td>FY 2014</td>
<td>Individuals may be counted more than once in the admissions numbers because some workers depart and re-enter the U.S. in the same year. First-time and repeat entries are not distinguished. All admissions are counted regardless if the H-2B worker was admitted on a new I-94 or a valid I-94 that was previously used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. THE NUMBER OF H-2B WORKERS

1. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
USDOL certified 93,649 H-2B positions in 2014; 5,464 applications for temporary labor certification (ETA Form 9142) were submitted, and 4,638 were certified. On each application, employers request that a certain number of positions be certified as H-2B eligible. If the requirements are met, USDOL will certify the number of positions requested. The number of H-2B positions certified through the temporary labor certification process is just that, and is not necessarily the same as the actual number of workers who are either granted a visa or come to the United States to work. USDOL apparently does not distinguish whether temporary labor certifications are filed for workers who are currently abroad or who are present in the U.S. already and who are seeking to change jobs to work with a new employer.

2. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
The Department of State tracks the numbers of H-2B visas issued to foreign workers each year. In 2015, State issued 69,684 new H-2B visas. This number has been relatively stable but slowly rising since 2009, after the H-2R program ended. The number of visas issued does not include the workers who entered with H-2B visas in previous years and extended their stay into the present year. Furthermore, even if a worker is issued a visa, it does not necessarily mean that he or she actually entered the United States; DOS does not publish data on the number of workers who actually enter.
DHS has two agencies involved in the H-2B program and thus two sets of data. The U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) receives the petitioner’s Form I-129, which requests that a certain number of nonimmigrant visas be made available for worker beneficiaries. The Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) interviews at the border or port of entry the workers who have received H-2B visas from their local U.S. Consulates, and decides whether to grant their admission and issues an entry document, or I-94.
A) U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES

USCIS publishes a current-year running H-2B cap count totaling the number of beneficiaries approved and petitions pending. In 2014, USCIS issued visas to 68,681 worker beneficiaries, including those who are exempt from being included in the cap.¹⁵⁵

DIGGING DEEPER: H-2B DATA COLLECTION REQUIRED

DHS’s sub-agency, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), is required to submit annually to Congress the number of approved H-2B petitions and the number of workers included in each, by occupation.¹⁵⁶ These annual reports are not routinely published on the USCIS website.¹⁵⁷ With respect to H-2B in particular, Congress mandates semi-annual and annual reports about a wide variety of H-2B data, including the number of visas issued or H-2B status provided, the number of revocations, and information on the countries of origin, occupations, and compensation paid to H-2B workers.¹⁵⁸

B) CUSTOMS AND BORDER PATROL

CBP counted 104,993 H-2B visa admissions in 2013.¹⁵⁹ The Office of Immigration Statistics publishes these I-94 statistics in their annual Yearbook. The number of nonimmigrant admissions refers to the number of admissions rather than the number of individuals.¹⁶⁰ These numbers are different because a worker may enter more than once during a single year, but the published data counts initial and return entries as separate admissions. There were 104,993 H-2B admissions to the U.S. in 2013, not necessarily 104,993 different workers.

B. H-2B WORKER DEMOGRAPHICS

USCIS may approve petitions for H-2B nonimmigrant status only for individuals from certain countries designated annually by DHS and DOS.¹⁶¹ Individuals from other countries are allowed only if DHS determines that it is in the U.S. interest.¹⁶² For the year 2016, DHS has identified the countries whose nationals are eligible to participate in the H-2B program. Even though dozens of potential source countries are on the authorized list, more than 70% of H-2B workers in 2015 were from Mexico.¹⁶³ Jamaica, Guatemala, the Philippines, and Great Britain are the next largest sending countries for H-2B workers. The high percentage of H-2B workers from Mexico has been a constant throughout the H-2B program’s existence.
Top Fifteen Sending Countries: H-2B Workers Admissions Flow - 2015

- **Mexico**: 95188
- **Jamaica**: 7651
- **Canada**: 4701
- **Guatemala**: 3141
- **United Kingdom**: 1704
- **Honduras**: 1681
- **El Salvador**: 1576
- **Philippines**: 642
- **South Africa**: 596
- **Bulgaria**: 414
- **Ireland**: 265
- **Japan**: 265
- **Costa Rica**: 215
- **Belize**: 191
- **Romania**: 184

DIGGING DEEPER: GENESIS OF SOURCE COUNTRY REGULATION

Since 2008, DHS has specified certain source countries for H-2B workers. Employers may still attempt to seek workers from a non-designated country, but without prior permission it is not likely that the visas will be issued. This rule change was intended to encourage foreign countries to be more cooperative in accepting their nationals being deported from the U.S. The U.S. had “faced an on-going problem of countries refusing to accept or unreasonably delay[] the acceptance of their nationals who have been ordered removed.” In promulgating the rule, DHS stated that even though it was attempting to revise the H-2B program to encourage lawful immigration, it was still expecting that some foreign workers would “abscond from their workplace or overstay their immigration status. Therefore, the success of the program will depend significantly upon countries accepting the return of their nationals.”

1. AGE AND GENDER

The U.S. government does not regularly publish information about the age and gender of H-2B workers. Because an employer does not have to list individual beneficiaries on Form I-129, this information is not maintained by USCIS in the same manner as with other nonimmigrant visa categories, such as H-1B. Nevertheless, DHS does possess this information, as Customs and Border Patrol tracks land border admissions with a computer system that “includes arrival and departure dates, port of entry, class of admission, country of citizenship, state of destination, age, and gender.”

Likewise, when prospective workers apply for their visas at the U.S consulates abroad, personal information for every individual, including age and gender, is gathered on his or her individual visa application, the DS-160. The Department of State maintains this information and releases it only after Freedom of Information Act requests. The data shows disparities in the issuance of H-2B visas according to age and gender. The preference for young, male workers seems clear. In 2010, most workers were between the ages of 19 and 28, and the age of the average worker was 32. Moreover, males accounted for 40,982 of the visas issued compared with 6,536 H-2B visas for females (13.8% of all H-2B visas issued in 2010). Multiple U.S. and international organizations and individuals recently petitioned the National Administrative Office of Mexico under the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation to investigate gender discrimination within the H-2A and H-2B programs.
H-2B Age & Gender Disparities - FY 2010

SOURCE: H-2B Nonimmigrant Visa Statistics on age and gender were provided to Global Workers Justice Alliance upon request, from the U.S. Department of State, Visa Office, Immigrant Visa Control and Reporting Division in July 2011
C. H-2B EMPLOYER DEMOGRAPHICS
USDOL publishes information on employers who request temporary labor certification under the H-2B program, the type of job, and where the job is located. The employers with the most H-2B positions are consistently those in the landscaping and forestry industries.171

![Top Five H-2B Employers by Positions Certified (USDOL) - 2015]

TruGreen Landcare 1319
Silver Bay Seafoods, LLC 959
Superior Forestry Service, Inc. 947
Alpha Services, LLC 883
The Brickman Group, Ltd., LLC 872

1. **LOCATION**

In 2014, Texas, Florida, Louisiana, Maryland and Pennsylvania were the five states with the most H-2B jobs certified by USDOL.\(^{172}\)

![USDOL Certified H-2B Positions by Top Ten States - 2014](image)


---

**D. H-2B JOB CHARACTERISTICS**

The H-2B visa is for any non-agricultural job that is temporary and seasonal in nature. Although there is no exhaustive list of jobs that qualify for the program, it has been geared towards low-skilled occupations that do not require advanced degrees. H-2B certifications have been approved in a variety of low-wage occupations.\(^{173}\) Jobs in landscaping, forestry, amusement parks, housekeeping, meatpacking, seafood processing, and hotels and restaurants are common, while positions as athletic
Instructors, electricians, bartenders and truck drivers seem rare. The top occupations have been consistent over time.

**Top Ten H-2B Occupations by Positions Certified (USDOL) - 2015**

1. Landscaping and Groundskeeping Workers
2. Forest and Conservation Workers
3. Amusement and Recreation Attendants
4. Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners
5. Construction Laborers
6. Meat, Poultry, and Fish Cutters and Trimmers
7. Waiter/Waitress
8. Packers and Packagers, Hand
9. Cook
10. Nonfarm Animal Caretakers


**DIGGING DEEPER: COMPENSATION DATA**

USDOL publishes information from approved temporary labor certifications, including hourly wages promised -- by state -- for various occupations commonly certified for H-2B workers. As the H-2B visa encompasses a wide variety of occupations, compensation varies. For example, in 2013, millwrights in Oregon earned $21.13 an hour and coaches and scouts in California received $21.89 an hour. Despite these wages that represent the top reach of H-2B wages, most occupations pay much less. The vast majority of landscapers and forestry workers appear to fall into the lower wage-ranges, as do many other H-2B certified occupations. For instance, meat, poultry, and fish cutters and trimmers in North Carolina earned just $7.55 per hour, while amusement and recreation attendants throughout the Midwest, dishwashers in Michigan and dining room, cafeteria workers, and bartenders in Indiana all earned under $8.50 per hour.
Even though these hourly wages are based on findings of a prevailing wage survey, many advocates claim that H-2B workers remain underpaid. Actual wages paid to H-2B workers are almost always less than the wage rates for U.S. workers who do the same jobs, according to occupational survey wage rates.179 One study revealed that employers who pay U.S. workers the average prevailing wage rate are at a relative disadvantage to H-2B employers who almost always pay 10% less than the average wage for the occupation and often pay up to 25% less.180

### Top Five H-2B Jobs and Wages - 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Positions Certified</th>
<th>Average Annual Wage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and Groundskeeping Workers</td>
<td>42104</td>
<td>$25,585.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest and Conservation Workers</td>
<td>8409</td>
<td>$23,518.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amusement and Recreation Attendants</td>
<td>6906</td>
<td>$18,689.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maids and Housekeeping Cleaners</td>
<td>6667</td>
<td>$21,858.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Laborers</td>
<td>3619</td>
<td>$27,043.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, Poultry, and Fish Cutters and Trimmers</td>
<td>2822</td>
<td>$20,441.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiters and Waitresses</td>
<td>2062</td>
<td>$22,448.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packers and Packagers, Hand</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>$20,718.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooks, Restaurant</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>$25,132.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfarm Animal Caretakers</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>$24,557.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. H-2B WORKER RIGHTS

H-2B program regulations were recently revised in 2015. While the program regulations have always required that a certain wage be paid to H-2B workers, for the first time, by regulation, the employer must provide workers with a written statement describing the job terms, at the time the worker applies for the visa. The regulations also now require employers to reimburse their H-2B workers for the costs of their visa application in the first paycheck, and to pay their inbound and outbound transportation expenses. Employer must now also guarantee that the worker will be provided with a certain number of hours of work when they are in the United States. There is still no requirement that employers provide their H-2B workers with housing, however. And neither is there any regulation which requires that the worker be provided with an employment contract that is enforceable in court.

The Department of Homeland Security has delegated some enforcement authority to the U.S. Department of Labor’s Wage and Hour Division (WHD). However, in fiscal year 2016, the U.S. Congress blocked WHD from being able to enforce some of these new provisions in an appropriations bill. H-2B workers may be able to enforce those regulations through private litigation. However, the only H-2B workers eligible for representation by federally funded legal services organizations are those working in the forestry industry.

In addition to these regulatory protections, H-2B workers are covered by various federal and state employment statutes and common law rights, including but not limited to the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Age Discrimination Employment Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, and state wage and hour and discrimination laws. Whether specific statutes or common law rights apply to any given worker will depend on the facts of each particular situation.
| Comparison of H-2A (agricultural) and H-2B (non-agricultural) Regulatory Worker Protections |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| **Are recruitment fees banned and must employer contractually forbid them?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.135(j),(k) | 20 C.F.R. §655.20(a),(p) |
| **Who pays visa application fees?** | Employer | Employer |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.135(j) | - worker may pay upfront but employer must reimburse to worker in the first workweek 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(j)(iv)(2). 655.18(15) |
| **Who pays for inbound transportation?** | Employer | Employer |
| - worker must pay upfront but employer must reimburse to worker when worker completes 50% of the contract period 20 C.F.R.§655.122(h)(1),(p) | - worker may pay upfront but employer must reimburse to worker when worker completes 50% of the contract period - 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(j)(1)(j); 655.18(12) |
| **Who pays for outbound transportation?** | Employer | Employer |
| - if worker completes full contract period 20 .F.R.§655.122(h)(1) | - if worker completes full contract period (not counting extensions) or is dismissed by the employer and has no immediate subsequent H-2B employment 20 C.F.R.§655.20(j)(1)(l); 655.18(13) |
| **Is housing provided, if so who pays for it housing?** | Yes, Employer | No. Employee pays. |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.122(d) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.18(10-11) |
| **Does the worker get a copy of the job order?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.122(q) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(1) |
| **Is the job order an enforceable employment contract?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R. § 655.102(b)(14); see also 29 C.F.R. § 501.10(d) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(f); 655.18(17) |
| **Is there a work guarantee?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.122(l) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(a), 655.10, 655.18(5) |
| **Is a prevailing wage required?** | Yes, each year USDA sets the adverse effect wage rate for each state regardless of agricultural commodity 20 C.F.R§655.122(l) | Yes, the prevailing wage is either the CBA wage, the arithmetic mean of workers similarly employed in the area of intended employment, or the wage determined by an employer survey 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(a), 655.10, 655.18(5) |
| **When paid on a piece-rate basis, is build-up paid to hourly wage level?** | Yes | Yes |
| **Do the workers receive an earnings statement with their paycheck?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R. §655.122(k) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(i)(2) |
| **Is the worker protected from retaliation if he asserts his rights?** | Yes | Yes |
| 20 C.F.R.§655.135(h)(1)-(5) | 20 C.F.R. § 655.20(n) |
| 45 C.F.R §1626.11 | |

A. WAGES
H-2B workers must be paid “free and clear” for all work performed when the wages are due. The wage rate must equal or exceed the highest of the prevailing wage or federal, state, or local minimum wage. If wages are based on commissions or other incentives, pay must be at least as high as this offered prevailing wage on a weekly basis. If the employer is going to impose a productivity standard (a requirement that the workers produce a certain amount of work in a specified period of time) as a condition of keeping the job, then that must be specified in the job order, and the employer must show that productivity standards are customary in the same occupation in the area. While wages must be computed over a single workweek (seven consecutive days), the employer may set the frequency of pay at least every two weeks or according to the prevailing practice in the area, whichever is more frequent. The job order must specify all deductions not required by law which the employer will make from the worker’s pay and all deductions that are not disclosed are prohibited. USDOL approves the prevailing wage and any conditions for each particular H-2B job when the employer files its temporary labor certification.

DIGGING DEEPER: PIECE RATE WORK
When H-2B workers are paid on a piece rate basis, the average hourly earnings over a workweek must still result in an amount at least equal to the offered wage. Workers who earn wages on a piece rate basis may end up earning more than what they would earn if they were paid the hourly required prevailing wage, but they may never earn less. The federal wage law, the Fair Labor Standards Act, may also apply and require that an employer supplement earnings so that the workweek’s average wage is at least the minimum wage.

1. EARNINGS STATEMENTS
The employer must keep accurate records about the amount of work performed and the wages that are due and must provide to each worker on or before each payday a written statement which details the basis of the wages earned. Neither employers nor their agents or attorneys may impose on H-2B workers any costs associated with the certification, including attorney/agent fees, application costs, and recruitment fees. If the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) applies, any deductions from wages must be disclosed ahead of time and must be reasonable and allowable under the FLSA.

B. TRANSPORTATION COSTS
H-2B program regulations require employers to pay for a worker’s inbound and outbound travel expenses. If the worker pays for these costs up front, the employer must reimburse the worker for the total amount of their inbound travel expenses upon completion of half of the contract period. If the Fair Labor Standards Act applies, the employer generally must reimburse workers’ inbound travel expenses in the first paycheck, to at least the amount needed to ensure the worker earns at least the federal minimum wage after subtracting the expenses he or she paid.
C. NO JOB RELOCATING

Employers may not place H-2B workers outside the geographic area of intended employment or planned itinerary that is listed on the H-2B job order.206

DIGGING DEEPER: AGRICULTURAL WORKER PROTECTION ACT MAY APPLY TO H-2B NON-AGRICULTURAL WORKERS

The Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act (AWPA) may apply to some H-2B workers, even though most H-2B jobs that are certified are non-agricultural in nature.207 The AWPA’s requirements may apply to H-2B workers who work in agriculture. This is because the AWPA defines agriculture more broadly than the H-2A visa definition.208 The AWPA requires farm labor contractors to register with the Department of Labor, and entitles workers to receive a written disclosure of work terms, among other things.209 Some examples of H-2B workers who are covered by the AWPA are forestry and pine straw workers.210 H-2B workers who are covered by the AWPA have the right to sue – in federal court -- their farm labor contractor, or employer, and any housing provider.211
VI. ENFORCEMENT

H-2B worker protections and the Fair Labor Standards Act are enforced by the U.S. Department of Labor. If an H-2B worker claims any sort of discrimination, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission is typically the federal agency in charge of enforcement. State attorneys general and agencies customarily will have the authority to enforce any state laws that may apply to the situation.

Workers themselves may enforce their own employment and civil rights by filing a lawsuit in federal or state court as long as there is jurisdiction and a private right of action. As is the case with all foreign temporary workers, it is oftentimes challenging for workers to find a lawyer, and then continue pursuing litigation after they return home when their visas expire. As mentioned above, only H-2B workers who work in forestry can be represented by federally funded legal aid programs who are familiar with the challenges that accompany representation of temporary foreign workers.

A. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Until 2009, the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) did not have formal responsibility to enforce the H-2B program regulations; that power rested with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). However, DHS has since delegated enforcement authority over the H-2B regulations to the USDOL’s Wage and Hour Division (WHD).

A WHD enforcement action may result from a routine inspection or an informal complaint from any person. If a worker complains, efforts are made to protect the confidentiality of the complainant. An employer being investigated must produce to WHD the information that is requested within 72 hours of notice. No employer or agent may interfere with any official investigating the H-2B program. WHD may seek injunctive relief and recover unpaid back wages and other money owed to workers, or assess civil monetary penalties of up to $10,000 per violation. Workers do not receive any portion of civil money penalties. Rather, the penalties are paid to the U.S. Treasury.

To help workers access wages collected by U.S. DOL, In January 2015 the agency launched the “Workers Owed Wages” (WOW) web-based application. The system was previously known as the Back Wage Employee Locator System (BWELS), available here: http://webapps.dol.gov/wow/. The updated and streamlined WOW system enables workers or advocates to answer a series of questions to determine whether Wage and Hour Division (WHD) has collected and is holding back wages for the workers; if so, the system helps connect workers with the relevant WHD office to receive their check. It is not clear how WHD handles the distribution of back wages to workers who have returned to their home countries.

1. DEBARMENT

While WHD has no independent authority to revoke an existing temporary labor certification or bar an employer from future participation in the H-2B program, it may make that recommendation to USDOL’s Employment and Training Administration (ETA) after a final determination of violations. The ETA may also bar any agents and
attorneys who assisted the employer. The debarment period must begin within two years of the violation and may endure from one to three years.\textsuperscript{218} USDOL publishes the debarment list on its website.

The Government Accountability Office issued recommendations in 2015 for enhanced enforcement of H-2B and H-2A violations by USDOL and DHS. Among other things, the agency advocated for greater information sharing between the agencies, publication of information on jobs and recruiters, and collection of data by USDOL on cases affected by the debarment statute of limitations.\textsuperscript{219}

**DIGGING DEEPER: USDOL EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ENFORCEMENT**

Since 2009 the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) has attempted to improve enforcement of H-2B workers' rights. Overall, the agency added about 300 investigators from 2009 to 2012 to help enhance enforcement, which included the H-2B program.\textsuperscript{220} In 2010, USDOL's Inspector General challenged the agency to make better use of its suspension and debarment authority within the foreign labor certification programs.\textsuperscript{221} In 2011, Secretary Solis travelled to Central America to initiate a cross-border campaign to inform low-wage migrant workers in targeted sectors such as construction, janitorial work, hotel services, food services and home health care about their rights.\textsuperscript{222} That same year, USDOL debarred its first five H-2B contractors.\textsuperscript{223} USDOL does not publish a list of employers found to have violated H-2B rules but who are not debarred.\textsuperscript{224}

**B. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

If an employer is found to have substantially failed to meet any of the conditions of the H-2B program or willfully misrepresented a material fact in their petition, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security may deny future petitions from that employer for one to five years.\textsuperscript{225} In 2010, DHS was involved in several investigations and prosecutions for construction workers on H-2B visas.\textsuperscript{226}

**DIGGING DEEPER: ICE ENFORCEMENT NOT FOCUSED ON H-2B**

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security's sub-agency, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), has a compliance unit that investigates nonimmigrant visa issues and conducts onsite investigations.\textsuperscript{227} Rather than focusing on making sure H-2B workers' rights are protected, however, the ICE investigations aim to target "aliens who pose dangers to national security or risks to public safety; recent illegal entrants; repeat violators of immigration law; and aliens who are fugitives from justice or otherwise obstruct immigration controls."\textsuperscript{228}

**DIGGING DEEPER: DHS’S BLUE CAMPAIGN**

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) runs a project known as the Blue Campaign, designed to help combat human trafficking. The awareness campaign includes multi-lingual public service announcements, billboards, newspaper advertisements, victim assistance materials, and indicator cards for law enforcement. DHS’s online resources include social media, and toolkit for employers in the lodging, transportation, entertainment, agricultural, manufacturing and construction industries.\textsuperscript{229}
C. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
The Department of State does not play a significant role in enforcing H-2B workers' rights. The agency continues to distribute anti-trafficking brochures to workers when they apply for their visas at the consular posts abroad. The Department of State does have a specific fraud prevention unit in certain U.S. Embassies abroad to verify visa application and petition information, but there is no published data about its impact on fraud within the H-2B program.

D. PRIVATE LITIGATION
Even though H-2B program regulations do not give workers the ability to sue their employer for violations of those regulations, private litigation is still one of the main vehicles to enforce an H-2B workers' employment and civil rights. An H-2B worker may have rights under several federal or state employment and civil rights laws that allow a worker to sue his or her employer in court. When a contract exists, workers may bring a common law contract claim in state court, or in federal court if there are federal statutory claims involved as well.

1. FEDERAL STATUTES
The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) is the federal wage and hour law that is probably most often used by H-2B workers to recover unpaid minimum and overtime wages. However, there are exemptions from the FLSA; for example, certain seasonal recreational establishments do not have to follow the FLSA’s minimum wage requirements. Other examples of federal statutes that may offer H-2B workers the ability to enforce their rights in court include, among others, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Age Discrimination and Employment Act, the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.

2. CLAIMS FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
Filing a breach of contract claim is another option for an H-2B worker to directly enforce any rights in the application for temporary labor certification or job order. However, the H-2B program regulations do not explicitly state that a contract is created when a foreign worker accepts an H-2B job and travels to the U.S. to begin employment. Whether the job order is an enforceable contract is a matter of state law.

3. ACCESS TO COUNSEL
As is the case with most temporary nonimmigrant workers, finding a lawyer may be difficult for an H-2B worker due to language barriers, cultural differences, and geographic isolation. Moreover, generally cases for migrants are complicated because most will have to return to their home countries at some point during the case and will face a geographical divide from their U.S.-based lawyers and the court itself. Transnational litigation can be complicated. Many lawyers simply are not up to the cost and logistical challenges of managing pending cases for clients who live abroad. Furthermore, because H-2B workers’ jobs are low-wage, many workers are owed damages that are small in size relative to the expense of litigation.
A) LEGAL SERVICES LAWYERS
Federally funded lawyers may represent only certain classes of immigrants. With the exception of forestry workers, individuals with H-2B visas are ineligible for these free legal services. However, there may be an exception if the worker is a victim of domestic violence, human trafficking or another crime. USDOL was frank in its assessment that H-2B workers cannot fully defend their legal rights when it stated in 2011 that “few legal options exist for H-2B workers who feel their work contracts have been violated.” Even if H-2B workers are courageous enough to speak out about unlawful conduct at work, they have limited legal options to hold recruiters or employers accountable by themselves.
VII. H-2B WORKER ISSUES

H-2B workers have long suffered violations of their labor rights. As with other temporary work visas, lawful immigration status for H-2B workers is tied to a specific employer. The visa is only valid as long as the worker is employed by the entity that received permission from the U.S. Department of Labor and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to import the worker. In fact, if an H-2B worker does not report for duty on five consecutive days, the employer must report the absence to DHS. H-2B workers may not switch jobs without permission. Because H-2B workers are in this way tethered by their visas to a single employer, they are vulnerable to abuse. Many arrive in the U.S. already in debt because they have had to borrow money to pay fees in order to get the job. Various federal agencies, workers’ rights advocates, and non-profit groups have reported on the ongoing mistreatment of H-2B workers generally and in specific industries, such as forestry, fairs and carnivals, and crab processing. These reports, as well as the criminal prosecutions and federal lawsuits themselves, document a history of issues with the H-2B program.

A. FRAUD

The current structure of the H-2B system does practically nothing to prevent outright fraud. With no requirement for public disclosures, recruiters are able to make false promises about jobs. The U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) has noted the “increasing evidence of employers and agents filing fraudulent applications — involving hundreds or thousands of requested employees — for non-existent job opportunities.” There is no official mechanism to discern the legitimacy of a recruiter who sets up shop in a small rural village and offers jobs in the U.S. Recent reports suggest prospective H-2B workers are too often defrauded in large migrant sending countries, such as Mexico.

DIGGING DEEPER: FRAUDULENT JOB CHANGES AND EXTENSIONS

H-2B workers may only change jobs or extend their immigration status after an employer obtains permission from the U.S. Department of Labor and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Advocates report that in some industries, labor contractors and staffing agencies take advantage of this process and will line up new jobs for H-2B workers after finishing the initial placement, for a fee. For example, in Florida, individuals with one such body shop employer were criminally convicted of alien smuggling and worker visa fraud when they supplied “foreign workers to more than 100 hotels and allowed more than 1,000 foreign workers to enter and remain in the United States illegally using fraudulently obtained H-2B employment-based visas.” The workers filed a private lawsuit against the hotel chains where they worked to try to recoup money owed to them. More recently, a North Carolina H-2B recruitment company was ordered to pay a $1.12 million fine and its principals were fined and sentenced to prison in a scheme involving bringing workers to the U.S. for one type of employment and then placing them elsewhere, in order to avoid the visa cap.

B. RECRUITMENT FEES

Despite that fact that recruitment fees are illegal, the problem continues. Workers may even have to take out loans in order to pay fees they are told are necessary to work in the U.S. Even though the fee amount may seem excessive, workers often believe it is
worth it because of recruiters’ false promises about the amount of money to be earned and the possibility of gaining lawful permanent residence in the United States. Some advocates report that because most prospective H-2B workers are from impoverished communities and are in dire need of jobs, this problem is exacerbated:

[Key aspects of the program have long led to human rights violations such as debt peonage, labor trafficking and involuntary servitude. These include the use of an often criminal band of labor recruiters . . . who lure impoverished and desperate foreign workers to jobs [in the U.S.]. . . Too often, the opportunity to work in the U.S. comes with an intolerably high price tag, for inflated transportation, visa, border crossing and other costs, and for recruitment fees. Too often, workers literally mortgage family properties in order to meet these obligations.]

When H-2B workers are in debt they are more susceptible to forced labor. Even the Department of State observed “indebtedness prior to arrival in the United States is a common mechanism of making victims vulnerable to control.” USDOL has noticed that the effects of this reach beyond foreign workers.

Workers who have heavily indebted themselves to secure a place in the H–2B program may be subject to exploitation in ways that would adversely affect the wages and working conditions of U.S. workers by creating conditions akin to indentured servitude, driving down wages and working conditions for all workers, foreign and domestic.

No federal agency oversees or regulates foreign recruiters or their actions and there are significant issues enforcing the ban on recruitment fees.

1. Practical Issues with Enforcing Recruitment Fee Ban
Even though H-2B program rules ban recruitment fees and require the petitioning employer to tell the recruiter to not charge fees, fees are difficult to eradicate as a practical matter. Recruiters are not regulated directly and USDOL has little power to “enforce regulations across international borders.” However, a scheme that relies only on an employer’s instruction to refrain from doing something is problematic.

C. Wage Theft
Wage problems are common in the H-2B program. Often simply referred to as “wage theft,” this practice means workers are not receiving wages that are required by law. It may be that the hours are not recorded accurately or that there are unlawful deductions from wages for housing, tools, safety equipment or travel. Sometimes when workers are paid on a piece rate basis and their rate of work or production level is low (through no fault of their own), employers fail to make up the difference in their wages so that the
hourly minimum is received. Moreover, H-2B workers are often not reimbursed for money spent on items which are really for the employer’s benefit, for example the cost of inbound transportation and visa applications, which effectively puts the first week’s pay below the federally required minimum level.

Many lawsuits have been filed and enforcement actions brought on behalf of H-2B workers for wage theft. For example, in 2012, USDOL recovered $93,000 in back wages for overtime that was not paid to 72 H-2B workers from Indonesia and the Philippines. Over the course of a dozen years, advocates fought for minimum and overtime wages owed to hundreds of H-2B forestry workers employed by several different companies, resulting in several million-dollar settlements. In 2011, workers’ rights groups filed a complaint under the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation against two amusement park companies alleging numerous wage violations. On January 16, 2015 a New York federal court approved a final $1.2 million settlement against a landscape company which had for almost a decade forced H-2B workers to pay their own visa, recruitment, and transportation fees to the U.S. and effectively brought their wages below the federal and state minimums in addition to the prevailing minimum wage in their contracts.

D. Retaliation

H-2B program regulations do not protect workers from retaliation. However, various federal statutes that may apply do prohibit any retaliation against workers who assert their rights. Even so, H-2B workers are reluctant to complain. This is due in no small part to their cultural, linguistic and often geographical isolation, as well as being unaware of protections from retaliation under various federal laws that may apply to them. Furthermore, the Department of State recently found that “recruiters discouraged former workers from reporting labor violations, claiming that U.S. embassies or consulates would not grant future visas for those who complain.” To be sure, there have been instances of outright intimidation. For example, after one federal wage case was filed, “a labor recruiter threatened to burn down a worker’s village in Guatemala if he did not drop his case.” While U.S. workers may freely change jobs when dissatisfied with conditions on the job, H-2B workers are confronted with the stark choices of suffering in silence, deportation, or joining the ranks of the undocumented.

E. Human Trafficking and Severe Labor Exploitation

Numerous H-2B workers have been victims of human trafficking. A case in Louisiana provides a compelling example of severe labor exploitation faced by many H-2B workers that borders on modern day slavery. The lawsuit alleged that hundreds of Indian workers paid recruiters up to $20,000 each to work repairing damaged oilrigs after Hurricane Katrina. The workers were promised lawful permanent residence for themselves and their families. However, they received only temporary H-2B visas and were required to pay $1,050 a month to live in “overcrowded, unsanitary, racially segregated and guarded” labor camps. Their passports and visas were confiscated to guarantee payment of their recruitment fees and when they complained about the situation, they were threatened with deportation. The employer asked DHS
for advice on how to fire the H-2B workers. An official advised as follows: “Don't give them any advance notice. Take them all out of the line on the way to work; get their personal belongings; get them in a van, and get their tickets, and get them to the airport, and send them back to India.” A jury awarded the workers $14 million in compensatory and punitive damages in February 2015.

F. LACK OF PORTABLE JUSTICE
As with other nonimmigrant visa programs, the H-2B scheme does not set up a way for workers to enforce their rights or denounce abuses when they return home after the work period ends, as the terms of their visa require. Still, lawyers who represent H-2B workers routinely continue advocating for their clients even after the workers return to their homes abroad. However, transnational litigation is fraught with challenges. For example, H-2B workers who are plaintiffs in lawsuits regarding problems with their job and need to return to the U.S. to give testimony at trial must apply for a separate visa or humanitarian parole. The same is true for workers who are injured on the job and require continuing medical treatment in the United States. The process of seeking this sort of immigration relief is complicated and costly, oftentimes itself preventing access to justice for employment and civil rights suffered while working in the United States.

G. RULES DO NOT ADEQUATELY PROTECT U.S. WORKERS
There is a concern that employers will prefer H-2B workers instead of U.S. workers simply because they are less expensive over the long run. Between 2008 and 2015, the petitioning employer is only required to state that it attempted to find U.S. workers to fill the jobs. This previous so-called “attestation-based process” inadequately protected U.S. workers from discrimination. One audit found “a pattern of noncompliance or avoidance of demonstrating compliance,” as well as “increasing evidence . . . of violations rising to the criminal level.” Some employers have signed attestations when they did not in fact comply with the rule and did “not appear to be recruiting, hiring and paying U.S. workers, and in some cases the H-2B workers themselves, in accordance with established program requirements.” A second audit confirmed that the attestation model was weak and in need of reform. The 2015 Interim Final Rule reverts to the certification model that was in effect prior to the 2008 final rule.
VIII. THE FIGHT FOR H-2B PROTECTIONS

A. 2012 RULES

During the first Obama administration, the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) undertook an extensive review of the H-2B program regulations and decided that new substantive rules were necessary to protect workers from exploitation. Behind the proposed changes was the notion that the USDOL should not ignore “successful criminal and civil prosecutions, which demonstrate the abuse of the H-2B program.” The massive new rules included a number of worker safeguards, including, for example, disclosing to workers the terms of their employment, wage guarantees, explicitly placing the obligation to pay visa fees on the employer, anti-retaliation protection, and barring employers from confiscating workers’ passports. The new H-2B rules would have also included important changes strengthening U.S. worker recruitment and requiring disclosure of information related to foreign recruitment. These rules were controversial and while they were set to take effect in April 2012, they never did.

B. USDOL AUTHORITY

Federal agencies cannot make administrative rules to implement and enforce a law unless Congress has authorized the agency to do so. In the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (IRCA), Congress instructed the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to consult with other appropriate agencies in making nonimmigrant H, L, O and P visa determinations. With respect to the H-2A visa program, Congress specified that appropriate agencies meant the USDOL and the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

The question of whether USDOL has authority to regulate the H-2B program is complicated. By statute, DHS is the federal agency in charge of immigration matters and may consult with other “appropriate agencies” before adjudicating an H-2B petition. Employers must petition DHS for classification of the prospective temporary worker as an H-2B nonimmigrant before the worker may obtain an H-2B visa or be granted H-2B status.

Employers and various industry interest groups filed a lawsuit against USDOL, challenging the agency’s authority to write and implement any substantive H-2B worker protection rules. A federal district court in Florida agreed and issued a preliminary injunction stopping the 2012 H-2B rules before they even went into effect. In April 2013, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed that decision, and in December 2014 a Florida district Judge permanently vacated the DOL 2012 H-2B rules. That decision was vacated and remanded by the Eleventh Circuit after the 2015 H-2B rules were promulgated.

In Bayou, the Eleventh Circuit found it significant that the USDOL was mentioned with respect to H-2A program, but not H-2B. The USDOL argued that IRCA’s requirement that DHS consult with other agencies about the issuance of H visas implied the right to
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make substantive rules for temporary labor certification applications, which included worker protections. The appeals court dismissed this argument as “an absurd reading of the statute,” concluding that “DHS was given overall responsibility, including rulemaking authority, for the H-2B program. USDOL was designated a consultant. It cannot bootstrap that supporting role into a co-equal one.”

On March 4, 2015, the U.S. District Court in the Northern District of Florida found that the USDOL had no authority to issue rules governing the temporary labor certification process for H-2B petitions. The case, Perez v. Perez, brought by a U.S. worker complaining of irreparable harm because of the process, brought the H-2B visa program to a halt. In Perez, the court followed its reasoning in a previous decision finding that USDOL has no authority to issue regulations with regard to the H-2B program.

However, the court in the Northern District of Florida found that although the statute directs DHS to consult with other agencies in deciding whether to grant H-2B visas, the statute does not clearly indicate Congressional intent for those agencies to have rulemaking authority or to allow DHS to delegate its rulemaking authority to them. Because of the decision in Perez, DHS suspended adjudication of H-2B petitions for a short time in order to consider the “appropriate response.”

A similar challenge over the issue of whether the USDOL has rulemaking authority for the H-2B program went to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, which in February 2014 held that the USDOL does in fact have this authority. This was a case involving the DOL’s H-2B 2011 rule regarding the determination of prevailing wages, thus disagreeing with the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals decision. While the DOL welcomed the 3rd Circuit decision, which enabled it to increase consistency in determining the prevailing minimum wage for H-2B workers and affirmed its broader authority to implement worker protections, the Third Circuit acknowledged that its ruling might cause conflict within the courts of appeals. However, the Third Circuit noted that the Eleventh Circuit decision in fact did not directly rule on whether the DOL had rulemaking authority but only on whether the District Court had abused its discretion.

C. 2015 RULES

In April 2015, the USDOL and DHS jointly issued new rules to govern the H-2B program. DHS determined that it should consult USDOL because of USDOL’s ability to advise whether “unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country.” According to DHS, the best way to accomplish this is to require the employer, prior to filing an H-2B petition, to first apply for a temporary labor certification from USDOL.

The 2015 rules contained significant changes to the H-2B program, including many more protections for foreign workers and U.S. workers alike. These were supported by many advocates as going a long way towards curbing H-2B worker abuse, in part because of the changes to promote transparency in the recruitment process.
December 2015, the U.S. Congress rolled back some of the new rules for fiscal year 2016, during the budget appropriations process.\textsuperscript{294}

Worker advocates, while welcoming the many enhanced protections in the 2015 Rule, filed a complaint challenging the continued use of employer surveys to determine wage rates as set forth in the rules, as well as the ability to use a lower collective bargaining agreement rate and the elimination of the use of some wage rates that are higher than the OES rate provided for in the rule.\textsuperscript{295} The District Court in New Jersey ruled that plaintiffs lacked standing to bring their action, and later denied a motion for reconsideration. Employer groups have likewise challenged the 2015 Rule.\textsuperscript{296}
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DIGGING DEEPER: CONGRESSIONAL OPPOSITION
Some members of Congress have opposed USDOL’s 2012 H-2B worker protection rules. Even those with voting records that reflect consistent support for U.S. workers have entered the fray, insisting that higher wages and enhanced enforcement will be too much of a burden on employers.\textsuperscript{297} “Temporary workers can't vote, they are isolated and don't tend to have a substantial community that will vote in their name, and so they have no voice in the political process,” was how one government staff person described the dynamic.\textsuperscript{298} Some scholars claim elected leaders continue to “defend the program as it stands, talking about the risks of foreign competition, the cost to small businesses of any change, and the unreliability of the U.S. workforce… There is lots of sympathy for mistreated workers, but there is reluctance to change the basic architecture of the program.”\textsuperscript{299}

This concern carried over to the 2015 Rule. Members of Congress opposing the latest rule added an appropriations rider to the budget that restricted USDOL’s ability to enforce parts of the 2015 Rule for FY 2016, as well as changing the substance of some of the rules.\textsuperscript{300}
\end{quote}
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